

circuit 62. At the same time, the control circuit 63 generates certain assumed values for bits  $b(x)$  thru  $b(x-K+1)$  on the conductors 65c which also go to the metric circuit 62. Then, circuit 62 evaluates the metric of FIG. 4 using the particular values for the various terms that are on the conductors 65b, 65c, and 65f. That result is generated on conductor 65d where it is received by the control circuit 63. Circuit 63 utilizes the metric on conductor 65d to update a stack in memory 64 in accordance with the sequence shown and described in FIGS. 5 and 8. Circuit 63 then compares the stack entries to determine which has the largest metric and its corresponding bit sequence. Based on that determination, circuit 63 then generates a new index of "x" and new bits  $b(x)$  thru  $b(x-K+1)$  on conductors 65f and 65c respectively.

Considering now FIG. 10, it shows the details of the metric circuit 62. One section of this circuit is comprised of a register 71 which has  $K-1$  stages, a memory 72 which stores the autocorrelation functions  $H(x, x+1)$  thru  $H(x, x+K-1)$ , a set of  $K-1$  multipliers 73, an adder 74, and another multiplier 75. All of these components are interconnected as illustrated, and they operate to generate the term  $I_f(x)$  in the FIG. 4 metric.

Another section of the FIG. 10 circuit includes a one-stage register 81, and a multiplier 82. Those components are interconnected as illustrated, and they generate the term  $2b(x)y(x)$  of the FIG. 4 metric.

Another section of the FIG. 10 circuit includes a memory 91 which stores the power level of the individual bit sequences in the composite signal, and a pair of multipliers 92 and 93. Components 91-93 are interconnected as illustrated, and they form the term  $b^2(x)w(x)$  of the FIG. 4 metric.

Further included in the FIG. 10 circuit is a register 101 which has  $K-1$  stages, a memory 102 which stores the autocorrelation functions  $H(x, x-K+1)$  thru  $H(x, x-1)$ , a set of  $K-1$  multipliers 103, an adder 104, and another multiplier 105. All of these components 101-105 are interconnected as illustrated, and they form the term  $I_p(x)$  in the FIG. 4 metric.

In operation, the controller 63 generates assumed values for bits  $b(x)$  thru  $b(x-K+1)$  on the leads 65c, and the matched filters 61 generate actual filter outputs for bits  $b(x)$  thru  $b(x-K+1)$  on the leads 65b. Those values are then operated on by components 71 thru 75, 81 thru 82, 91 thru 93, and 101 thru 105 to form the above described metric terms. Circuit 110 combines those terms, as well as the noise term  $N_0 \ln 2$ , in accordance with FIG. 4; and it sends the resulting metric  $M_x$  to the control circuit 63 on the leads 65d.

A preferred process of decoding bits in a composite signal in accordance with the invention, as well as circuitry for carrying out that process, have now been described in detail. In addition, however, many changes and modifications can be made to those details without departing from the nature and spirit of the invention.

For example, many suitable implementations of the matched filters exist. One such implementation consists of a two input multiplier and a one input integrator for each filter. Signal  $c(t)$  goes into one of the multiplier inputs; the spreading code of a particular transmitting station goes into the other multiplier input; the multiplier output goes to the integrator input; and the output of the integrator is the matched filter output.

Also in the disclosed process, several modifications can be made to the FIG. 4 metric. For example, in the FIG. 4 metric the term  $I_f(x)$  compensates for the inter-

ference to bit  $b(x)$  which is caused by the next successive  $K-1$  bits in the composite signal. But, as the amount of correlation between the spreading codes for bit  $b(x)$  and a successive bit decreases, the amount of interference also decreases. Consequently, one or more of the terms  $[\text{sign } y(x+i)H(x, x+i)]$  which have the smallest correlations  $H(x, x+i)$  can be dropped from the interference term  $I_f(x)$  in order to simplify the metric, at the risk of increasing the errors that occur in the decoding procedure.

For example, consider the leftmost column in FIG. 7 which shows the correlations  $H(x, x+i)$  where bit  $b(x)$  comes from station  $T_1$ . There,  $H(x, x+2)$  is  $1.94 \times 10^{-2}$ , whereas  $H(x, x+3)$  is almost ten times larger. Consequently, the term  $[\text{sign } y(x+2)H(x, x+2)]$  in the FIG. 4 metric can be dropped whenever bit  $b(x)$  is from station  $T_4$ .

Similarly, one or more of the terms  $b(x-i)H(x, x-i)$  can be dropped from the interference term  $I_p(x)$ . For example, the rightmost column of FIG. 7 shows that a relatively small correlation exists between the spreading codes of bits  $b(x)$  and  $b(x-2)$ ; and thus the term  $b(x-2)H(x, x-2)$  can be dropped from the FIG. 4 metric whenever  $b(x)$  is from station  $T_4$ .

Further, the constants by which the interference terms  $I_p(x)$  and  $I_f(x)$  are multiplied in the FIG. 4 metric can be increased or decreased to modify the relative weight or importance of the terms in the decoding process. In addition, the noise term  $-N_0 \ln 2$  in the FIG. 4 metric can be modified. For example, by changing it to  $d_{\min} - W(x) - N_0 \ln 2$  where  $d_{\min}$  is the minimum distance between the various spreading codes of the transmitting stations, fewer decoding errors will occur in the case in which multi-user interference is strong (i.e., where  $d_{\min} < \text{MIN } W(x)$ ).

Accordingly, it is to be understood that the invention is not limited to the above details, but is defined by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of decoding superimposed data bits including the steps of:

receiving a composite signal that is formed by coding multiple bit sequences with respective spreading codes and transmitting the coded bit sequences simultaneously and asynchronously over a single channel;

comparing the content of several registers, each of which contains an estimate of a particular bit sequence in said composite signal and a metric for that sequence, to determine the largest metric  $M_{\max}$  and the corresponding bit sequence  $b_s$ ;

evaluating a new pair of metrics for the very next bit  $b(x)$  in said composite signal which follows said bit sequence  $b_s$  by using estimated "0" and "1" values for bit  $b(x)$ , bits from said sequence  $b_s$  which immediately precede bit  $b(x)$ , and matched filter outputs for bits in said composite signal which immediately follow bit  $b(x)$ ;

replacing the register which contains said bit sequence  $b_s$  with two registers, one of which contains the bit sequence  $b_s$ ,  $b(x)=0$  and the metric  $M_{\max}$  plus the above evaluated metric for  $b(x)=0$ , and the other of which contains the bit sequence  $b_s$ ,  $b(x)=1$  and the metric  $M_{\max}$  plus the above evaluated metric for  $b(x)=1$ ;

repeating said comparing, evaluating, and replacing steps multiple times; and thereafter,